Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/658,178	SARKAS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kishor Mayekar	1795	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Kishor Mayekar.	(3)		
(2) Paul Rauch.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 08 June 2009.			
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☑ No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>2</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Sheer 704.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant will specify oxide products in claim 2 and address the 112 2" paragraph issue</u> .			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 73.04.) If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THRITY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SHAMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on verses side or on attached sheet.			
/Kishor Mayekar/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)